

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION
Application Serial No. 10/057,364

Customer No. 01933

Attorney Docket No. 02036/LH

This paper is being
submitted via EFS-Web on
April 10, 2008

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT
AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant(s): Tomoya YOSHIDA

Serial No. : 10/057,364

Confirm. No.: 2010

Filed : January 24, 2002

For : ADMINISTRATION SYSTEM,
ADMINISTRATION APPARATUS, RELAY
SERVER, ADMINISTRATION APPARATUS
AND ADMINISTRATION METHOD

Art Unit : 2154

Examiner : JOSHUA JOO

In the event that this Paper
is late filed, and the
necessary petition for
extension of time is not
filed concurrently herewith,
please consider this as a
Petition for the requisite
extension of time, and to
the extent not already paid,
authorization to charge the
extension fee to Account
No. 06-1378. In addition,
authorization is hereby
given to charge any fees for
which payment has not been
submitted, or to credit any
overpayments, to Account No.
06-1378.

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF REFUSAL TO CONSIDER
PORTION OF INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

S I R :

It is respectfully requested that the Examiner reconsider
the refusal to consider the following documents identified in an
IDS FORM supplied with the Information Disclosure Statement (IDS)
filed October 9, 2007:

1. "Integration management tool Patrol" business
communication, Business Communication Limited Company,
February 1, 1999, Vol. 36, No. 2, pages 134-143.
2. Ryuichi Toriyama, "System security and operation
management" Nikkei Open System, Nikkei BP Company,
December 15, 1997, Vol. 57, pages 326-332.

The above publications were properly identified in the IDS filed October 9, 2007, and a Japanese Office Action dated August 2, 2007, in which these publications were cited, and an English language translation of said Japanese Office Action were provided as an explanation of relevance of these publications.

At the top of page 2 of the IDS filed October 9, 2007, the undersigned stated: "An English translation of said Japanese Office Action is provided, thereby satisfying the requirements for a concise explanation of relevance for any non-English language publications cited therein (MPEP 609 III A(3))."

The Japanese Office Action and the English language translation thereof are not prior art documents to be considered, but are instead explanations of relevance of the publications which are to be considered.

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that the Examiner's assertion that no explanation of relevance was provided and the Examiner's requirement that the Japanese Office Action and English language translation be "listed" are incorrect.

Respectfully submitted,

/Leonard Holtz/

Leonard Holtz
Reg. No. 22,974

Frishauf, Holtz, Goodman & Chick, P.C.
220 Fifth Avenue, 16th Floor
New York, NY 10001-7708
Tel. No. (212) 319-4900
LH:nps